

A remark on the paper "Renorming divergent perpetuities"

Alexander Iksanov* and Andrey Pilipenko†

February 20, 2014

Abstract

Let (ξ_k) and (η_k) be infinite independent samples from different distributions. We prove a functional limit theorem for the maximum of a perturbed random walk $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} (\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k + \eta_{k+1})$ in a situation where its asymptotics is affected by both $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} (\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k)$ and $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \eta_k$ to a comparable extent. This solves an open problem that we learned from the paper "Renorming divergent perpetuities" by P. Hitczenko and J. Wesolowski.

1 Introduction and results

Let $(\xi_k, \eta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. two-dimensional random vectors with generic copy (ξ, η) . Let $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be the zero-delayed random walk with increments ξ_k for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e.,

$$S_0 := 0 \quad \text{and} \quad S_n := \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Assuming that

$$\mathbb{E}\xi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v^2 := \text{Var} \xi < \infty, \quad (1)$$

Hitczenko and Wesolowski in [1] investigated weak convergence of the one-dimensional distributions of $a_n \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} (S_k + \eta_{k+1})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for appropriate deterministic sequences (a_n) . More precisely, in the proof of Theorem 3 in [1] it is shown that (I) whenever $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} S_k$ dominates $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n+1} \eta_k$ the limit law of $a_n \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} (S_k + \eta_{k+1})$ coincides with the limit law of $a_n \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} S_k$ which is the law of $|B(1)|$ where $(B(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is a Brownian motion; and that (II) whenever $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n+1} \eta_k$ dominates $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} S_k$ the limit law coincides with that of $a_n \max_{1 \leq k \leq n+1} \eta_k$ which is a Fréchet law under a regular variation assumption.

If in addition to (1) condition

$$\mathbb{P}\{\eta > x\} \sim cx^{-2}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty \quad (2)$$

holds for some $c > 0$, then contributions of $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} S_k$ and $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n+1} \eta_k$ to the asymptotic behavior of $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} (S_k + \eta_{k+1})$ are comparable. Hitczenko and Wesolowski conjectured (see Remark on p. 889 in [1]) that whenever conditions (1) and (2) hold, and ξ and η are independent, the limit

*Faculty of Cybernetics, National Taras Shevchenko University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine,
e-mail: iksan@univ.kiev.ua

†Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine,
e-mail: pilipenko.ay@yandex.ua

random variable is $\theta + vB(1)$, where θ is independent of $B(1)$ and has a Fréchet distribution with parameters 2 and c . Under conditions (1) and (2) (not assuming that ξ and η are independent) we state a functional limit result for $n^{-1/2} \max_{0 \leq k \leq [n \cdot]} (S_k + \eta_{k+1})$ in Theorem 1.1 which implies that the conjecture is erroneous (see Remark 1.2).

Denote by $D := D[0, \infty)$ the Skorokhod space of real-valued right-continuous functions which are defined on $[0, \infty)$ and have finite limits from the left at each positive point. Throughout the note we assume that D is equipped with the J_1 -topology. For $c > 0$ defined in (2), let $N^{(c)} := \sum_k \varepsilon_{(t_k, j_k)}$ be a Poisson random measure on $[0, \infty) \times (0, \infty]$ with mean measure $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{B} \times \mu_c$, where $\varepsilon_{(t, x)}$ is the probability measure concentrated at $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times (0, \infty]$, $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{B}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, \infty)$, and μ_c is a measure on $(0, \infty]$ defined by

$$\mu_c((x, \infty]) = cx^{-2}, \quad x > 0.$$

Also, let $(B(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a Brownian motion independent of $N^{(c)}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose (1) and (2). Then*

$$n^{-1/2} \max_{0 \leq k \leq [n \cdot]} (S_k + \eta_{k+1}) \Rightarrow \sup_{t_k \leq \cdot} (vB(t_k) + j_k) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

in D .

Remark 1.2. Observe that $\mathbb{P}\{\sup_{t_k \leq 1} (vB(t_k) + j_k) \geq 0\} = 1$, whereas $\mathbb{P}\{\theta + vB(1) < 0\} > 0$. This disproves the conjecture stated in [1]. We note in passing that the law of $\sup_{t_k \leq 1} (vB(t_k) + j_k)$ is different from that of $\theta + v|B(1)| \stackrel{d}{=} \sup_{t_k \leq 1} j_k + v \sup_{t \leq 1} B(t)$, for $\sup_{t_k \leq 1} (vB(t_k) + j_k) < \sup_{t_k \leq 1} j_k + v \sup_{t \leq 1} B(t)$ a.s.

After the present note was ready for submission we learned that a version of Theorem 1.1, with ξ and η being independent, has also been proved, independently and at the same time, in [3] via a more complicated argument.

Let $C := C[0, \infty)$ be the set of continuous functions defined on $[0, \infty)$. Denote by M_p the set of Radon point measures ν on $[0, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty]$ which satisfy

$$\nu([0, T] \times \{(-\infty, -\delta] \cup [\delta, \infty)\}) < \infty \quad (3)$$

for all $\delta > 0$ and all $T > 0$. The M_p is endowed with the vague topology. Define the functional F from $D \times M_p$ to D by

$$F(f, \nu)(t) := \begin{cases} \sup_{k: \tau_k \leq t} (f(\tau_k) + y_k), & \text{if } \tau_k \leq t \text{ for some } k, \\ f(0), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\nu = \sum_k \varepsilon_{(\tau_k, y_k)}$. Assumption (3) ensures that $F(f, \nu) \in D$. If (3) does not hold, $F(f, \nu)$ may lost right-continuity.

Theorem 1.3. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_n \in D$ and $\nu_n \in M_p$. Assume that $f_0 \in C$ and that $\nu_0([0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0]) = 0$, $\nu_0(\{0\} \times (-\infty, +\infty]) = 0$ and $\nu_0((a, b) \times (0, \infty]) \geq 1$ for all positive a and b such that $a < b$.*

If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f_0 \quad \text{in } D \quad (4)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{1}_{[0, \infty) \times (0, \infty]} \nu_n = \nu_0 \text{ in } M_p, \quad (5)$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(f_n, \nu_n) = F(f_0, \nu_0) \quad (6)$$

in D .

Remark 1.4. Let $a > 0$ and $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a random sequence independent of $(\eta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Further, denote by X a random process with a.s. continuous paths which is independent of (t_k^*, j_k^*) the atoms of a Poisson random measure on $[0, \infty) \times (0, \infty]$ with mean measure $\mathbb{L}\mathbb{E}\mathbb{B} \times \mu_{c,a}$, where $\mu_{c,a}$ is a measure on $(0, \infty]$ defined by $\mu_{c,a}((x, \infty]) = cx^{-a}$, $x > 0$. Whenever (2) holds with 2 replaced by a and $n^{-1/a}T_{[n]} \Rightarrow X(\cdot)$ in D , an application of Theorem 1.3 allows us to infer

$$n^{-1/a} \max_{0 \leq k \leq [n\cdot]} (T_k + \eta_{k+1}) \Rightarrow \sup_{t_k^* \leq \cdot} (X(t_k^*) + j_k^*) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

in D . Details can be found in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.1 are given in Section 2 and Section 3, respectively.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

It suffices to prove convergence (6) in $D[0, T]$ for any $T > 0$ such that $\nu_0(\{T\} \times (0, \infty]) = 0$ (the last condition ensures that $F(f_0, \nu_0)$ is continuous at T).

Let d_T be the standard Skorokhod metric in $D[0, T]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_T(F(f_n, \nu_n), F(f_0, \nu_0)) &\leq \\ &\leq d_T(F(f_n, \nu_n), F(f_0, \nu_n)) + d_T(F(f_0, \nu_n), F(f_0, \nu_0)) \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |F(f_n, \nu_n) - F(f_0, \nu_n)| + d_T(F(f_0, \nu_n), F(f_0, \nu_0)) \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |f_n(t) - f_0(t)| + d_T(F(f_0, \nu_n), F(f_0, \nu_0)), \end{aligned}$$

having utilized the fact that d_T is dominated by the uniform metric. It follows from (4) and the continuity of f_0 that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = f_0$ uniformly on $[0, T]$. Therefore we are left with checking that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_T(F(f_0, \nu_n), F(f_0, \nu_0)) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Let $\alpha = \{0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_m = T\}$ be a partition of $[0, T]$ such that

$$\nu_0(\{s_k\} \times (0, \infty]) = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

Pick now $\gamma > 0$ so small that

$$\nu_0((s_k, s_{k+1}) \times (\gamma, \infty]) \geq 1, \quad k = 0, \dots, m-1.$$

Condition (5) implies that $\nu_0([0, T] \times (\gamma, \infty]) = \nu_n([0, T] \times (\gamma, \infty]) = p$ for large enough n and some $p \geq 1$. Denote by $(\bar{\tau}_i, \bar{y}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq p}$ an enumeration of the points of ν_0 in $[0, T] \times (\gamma, \infty]$

with $\bar{\tau}_1 \leq \bar{\tau}_2 \leq \dots \leq \bar{\tau}_p$ and by $(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}, \bar{y}_i^{(n)})_{1 \leq i \leq p}$ the analogous enumeration of the points of ν_n in $[0, T] \times (\gamma, \infty]$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^p (|\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)} - \bar{\tau}_i| + |\bar{y}_i^{(n)} - \bar{y}_i|) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Define λ_n to be continuous and strictly increasing functions on $[0, T]$ with $\lambda_n(0) = 0$, $\lambda_n(T) = T$, $\lambda_n(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}) = \bar{\tau}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$, and let λ_n be linearly interpolated elsewhere on $[0, T]$. The relation $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\lambda_n(t) - t| = 0$ is easily checked. Further, write

$$\begin{aligned} d_T(F(f_0, \nu_n), F(f_0, \nu_0)) &\leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \sup_{\lambda_n(\tau_k^{(n)}) \leq t} (f_0(\tau_k^{(n)}) + y_k^{(n)}) - \sup_{\lambda_n(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}) \leq t} (f_0(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}) + \bar{y}_i^{(n)}) \right| \\ &+ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \sup_{\tau_k \leq t} (f_0(\tau_k) + y_k) - \sup_{\bar{\tau}_i \leq t} (f_0(\bar{\tau}_i) + \bar{y}_i) \right| \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^p (|f_0(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}) - f_0(\bar{\tau}_i)| + |\bar{y}_i^{(n)} - \bar{y}_i|). \end{aligned}$$

Using (8) we infer

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^p (|f_0(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}) - f_0(\bar{\tau}_i)| + |\bar{y}_i^{(n)} - \bar{y}_i|) = 0 \quad (9)$$

because f_0 is continuous.

To proceed, put $|\alpha| := \max_i (s_{i+1} - s_i)$ and let $\omega_{f_0}(\varepsilon) := \sup_{|u-v| < \varepsilon, u, v \geq 0} |f_0(u) - f_0(v)|$ denote the modulus of continuity of f_0 . We have¹

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \sup_{\lambda_n(\tau_k^{(n)}) \leq t} (f_0(\tau_k^{(n)}) + y_k^{(n)}) - \sup_{\lambda_n(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}) \leq t} (f_0(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}) + \bar{y}_i^{(n)}) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\lambda_n(\tau_k^{(n)}) \leq t, \lambda_n(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}) \leq t, \tau_k^{(n)} \neq \bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}} \left(f_0(\tau_k^{(n)}) + y_k^{(n)} - f_0(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}) \right) \vee 0 \leq \omega_{f_0}(3|\alpha|) + \gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Indeed, since, for $k = 1, \dots, m$,

$$(s_k, s_{k+1}) \cap \{\bar{\tau}_1^{(n)}, \dots, \bar{\tau}_p^{(n)}\} \neq \emptyset,$$

we conclude that whenever $\lambda_n(\tau_k^{(n)}) \leq t$ there exists $\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}$ such that $\lambda_n(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}) \leq t$ and $|\tau_k^{(n)} - \bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}| \leq 3|\alpha|$. Further, all $y_k^{(n)}$ other than $\bar{y}_i^{(n)}$, $i = 1, \dots, p$ are smaller than or equal to γ . This explains the appearance of the second term on the right-hand side of (10). Arguing similarly we infer

$$\left| \sup_{\tau_k \leq t} (f_0(\tau_k) + y_k) - \sup_{\bar{\tau}_i \leq t} (f_0(\bar{\tau}_i) + \bar{y}_i) \right| \leq \omega_{f_0}(3|\alpha|) + \gamma. \quad (11)$$

Sending in (10) and (11) $|\alpha|$ and γ to zero and recalling (9) we arrive at (7). The proof is complete.

¹We recall that $\sup_{\lambda_n(\tau_k^{(n)}) \leq t} (f_0(\tau_k^{(n)}) + y_k^{(n)}) = f_0(0)$ if the supremum is taken over the empty set. Analogously for $\sup_{\lambda_n(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}) \leq t} (f_0(\bar{\tau}_i^{(n)}) + \bar{y}_i^{(n)})$. Note that under the assumptions of the theorem these suprema converge to $f_0(0)$ as $t \downarrow 0$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

According to Donsker's theorem assumption (1) implies

$$n^{-1/2}S_{[n]} \Rightarrow vB(\cdot), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } D. \quad (12)$$

It is a standard fact of the point processes theory that condition (2) entails

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta_{k+1} > 0\}} \varepsilon_{(n^{-1}k, n^{-1/2}\eta_{k+1})} \Rightarrow \widehat{N}^{(c)} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } M_p, \quad (13)$$

see, for instance, Corollary 4.19 (ii) in [2]. Here, $\widehat{N}^{(c)}$ has the same law as $N^{(c)}$ but may depend on B .

In order to prove that B and $\widehat{N}^{(c)}$ are actually independent, it suffices to check that $\widehat{N}^{(c)}([0, s] \times (\delta, \infty])$ and B are independent for each fixed $s > 0$ and each fixed $\delta > 0$. Fix $\delta > 0$ and $s > 0$ and put $\theta_0^{\leq, n} := 0$ and $\theta_0^{>, n} := 0$, and then

$$\theta_k^{\leq, n} := \inf\{j > \theta_{k-1}^{\leq, n} : \eta_j \leq \sqrt{n}\delta\} \text{ and } \theta_k^{>, n} := \inf\{j > \theta_{k-1}^{>, n} : \eta_j > \sqrt{n}\delta\}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, we set

$$K_n^{\leq} := \#\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \theta_k^{\leq, n} \leq n\} \text{ and } K_n^{>} := \#\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \theta_k^{>, n} \leq n\}.$$

Then $(\xi_{\theta_k^{\leq, n}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are i.i.d. with generic copy $\xi_{\theta^{\leq, n}}$ having the law $\mathbb{P}\{\xi_{\theta^{\leq, n}} \in \cdot\} = \mathbb{P}\{\xi \in \cdot | \eta \leq \sqrt{n}\delta\}$, while $(\xi_{\theta_k^{>, n}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are i.i.d. with generic copy $\xi_{\theta^{>, n}}$ having the law $\mathbb{P}\{\xi_{\theta^{>, n}} \in \cdot\} = \mathbb{P}\{\xi \in \cdot | \eta > \sqrt{n}\delta\}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{|\xi_{\theta^{>, n}}| > \sqrt{n}\varepsilon\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{|\xi_{\theta^{>, n}}| > \sqrt{n}\varepsilon\} / \mathbb{P}\{\eta > \sqrt{n}\delta\} \sim c^{-1}\delta^2 n \mathbb{P}\{|\xi_{\theta^{>, n}}| > \sqrt{n}\varepsilon\}$$

which proves that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1/2}\xi_{\theta^{>, n}} = 0$ in probability. Since $K_{[nT]}^{>} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \varepsilon_{(n^{-1}k, n^{-1/2}\eta_{k+1})}([0, T] \times (\delta, \infty])$ converges to $\widehat{N}^{(c)}([0, T] \times (\delta, \infty])$ in distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the right-hand side of

$$n^{-1/2} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} \xi_i - \sum_{j=1}^{K_{[nt]}^{\leq}} \xi_{\theta_j^{\leq, n}} \right| = n^{-1/2} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{K_{[nt]}^{>}} \xi_{\theta_k^{>, n}} \right| \leq n^{-1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{K_{[nT]}^{>}} |\xi_{\theta_k^{>, n}}|,$$

where $T > 0$ is arbitrary, converges to zero in probability, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{K_{[n]}^{\leq}} \xi_{\theta_j^{\leq, n}} \Rightarrow vB(\cdot) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } D.$$

Observe further that $n^{-1}K_{[n]}^{\leq} \Rightarrow f(\cdot)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in D , where $f(t) = t$, $t \geq 0$ which implies

$$\left(n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{K_{[n]}^{\leq}} \xi_{\theta_j^{\leq, n}}, n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{[n]} \xi_{\theta_j^{\leq, n}} \right) \Rightarrow (vB(\cdot), vB(\cdot)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } D \times D.$$

Since $K_{[ns]}^{>}$ is independent of $(\xi_{\theta_k^{\leq, n}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ we conclude that B and $\widehat{N}^{(c)}([0, s] \times (\delta, \infty])$ are independent, as claimed.

Using the independence of B and $\widehat{N}^{(c)}$, relations (12) and (13) can be combined into the joint convergence

$$\left(n^{-1/2} S_{[n]}, \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{1}_{\{\eta_{k+1} > 0\}} \varepsilon_{(n^{-1}k, n^{-1/2}\eta_{k+1})} \right) \Rightarrow (vB(\cdot), \widehat{N}^{(c)}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } D \times M_p$$

(in the product topology). By the Skorokhod representation theorem there are versions which converge a.s. Retaining the original notation for these versions we apply Theorem 1.3 with $f_n(\cdot) = n^{-1/2} S_{[n]}$, $f_0 = vB$, $\nu_n = \sum_{k \geq 0} \varepsilon_{\{n^{-1}k, n^{-1/2}\eta_{k+1}\}}$ and $\nu_0 = \widehat{N}^{(c)}$. We already know that conditions (4) and (5) are fulfilled. Plainly, $\widehat{N}^{(c)}([0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0]) = 0$ a.s. and $\widehat{N}^{(c)}(\{0\} \times (-\infty, +\infty]) = 0$ a.s. Further $\widehat{N}^{(c)}([0, T] \times \{(-\infty, -\delta] \cup [\delta, \infty)\}) < \infty$ a.s. for all $\delta > 0$ and all $T > 0$ because $\mu_c((-\infty, -\delta] \cup [\delta, \infty)) = \mu_c([\delta, \infty)) < \infty$, and $\widehat{N}^{(c)}((a, b) \times (0, \infty)) \geq 1$ a.s. whenever $0 < a < b$ because $\mu_c((0, \infty)) = \infty$. The proof is complete.

References

- [1] HITCZENKO, P. AND WESOŁOWSKI, J. (2011). Renorming divergent perpetuities. *Bernoulli*. **17**, 880–894.
- [2] RESNICK, S. (1987). *Extreme values, regular variation, and point processes*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- [3] WANG, Y. (2014). Convergence to the maximum process of a fractional Brownian motion with shot noise. Preprint at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.2598>, 1st version.